

The Lakshmi Vilas Bank Limited

September 10, 2019

Ratings			1		
Facilities	Amount	Rating ¹	Rating Action		
	(Rs. crore)				
		CARE BBB-	Revised from CARE BBB		
Lower Tier II Bonds @	50.50	(Triple B Minus)	(Triple B)		
Lower her it bolids @		(Credit Watch with	(Credit Watch with		
		developing implications)	developing implications)		
		CARE BBB-	Revised from CARE BBB		
Tier II Bonds (Basel III	78.10	(Triple B Minus)	(Triple B)		
Compliant) –I @	78.10	(Credit Watch with	(Credit Watch with		
		developing implications)	developing implications)		
		CARE BBB-	Revised from CARE BBB		
Tier II Bonds (Basel III	140.10	(Triple B Minus)	(Triple B)		
Compliant) –II @	140:10	(Credit Watch with	(Credit Watch with		
		developing implications)	developing implications)		
	100.00	CARE BBB-	Revised from CARE BBB		
Tier II Bonds (Basel III		(Triple B Minus)	(Triple B)		
Compliant) –III @		(Credit Watch with	(Credit Watch with		
		developing implications)	developing implications)		
Proposed Basel III		CARE BB-	Revised from CARE BB		
Compliant Additional	250.00	(Double B Minus)	(Double B)		
Tier I Perpetual Bond		(Credit Watch with	(Credit Watch with		
issue #		developing implications)	developing implications)		
	618.70				
Total	(Rupees Six Hundred				
	Eighteen crore and				
	Seventy lakh only)				

Details of instruments/facilities in Annexure-1

1

@ Tier II Bonds under Basel III are characterized by a 'Point of Non-Viability' (PONV) trigger due to which the investor may suffer a loss of principal. PONV will be determined by the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) and is a point at which the bank may no longer remain a going concern on its own unless appropriate measures are taken to revive its operations and thus, enable it to continue as a going concern. In addition, the difficulties faced by a bank should be such that these are likely to result in financial losses and raising the Common Equity Tier I capital of the bank should be considered as the most appropriate way to prevent the bank from turning non-viable.

In CARE's opinion, the parameters considered to assess whether a bank will reach the PONV are similar to the parameters considered to assess rating of Tier II instruments even under Basel II. CARE has rated the Tier II bonds under Basel III after factoring in the additional feature of PONV.

CARE has rated the aforesaid Basel III Compliant Tier-I Perpetual Bonds [Additional Tier I Bonds (Basel III)] after taking into consideration its key features as mentioned below:

• The bank has full discretion at all times to cancel coupon payments.

• The coupon is to be paid out of current year profits. However, if the current year's profits are not sufficient, i.e., payment of such coupon is likely to result in losses during the current year, the balance of coupon payment may be made out of reserves representing appropriation of net profits, including statutory reserves and excluding share premium, revaluation reserve, foreign currency translation reserve, investment reserve and reserves created on amalgamation provided the bank meets the

¹Complete definitions of the ratings assigned are available at <u>www.careratings.com</u> and in other CARE publications.



minimum regulatory requirements for Common Equity Tier I [CET I], Tier I and Total Capital Ratios and capital buffer frameworks as prescribed by the Reserve Bank of India [RBI].

• The instrument may be written-down upon CET I breaching the pre-specified trigger of 5.5% before March 31, 2020, and 6.125% on and after March 31, 2020, or written-off / converted into common equity shares on occurrence of the trigger event called point of non-viability (PONV). The PONV trigger shall be determined by RBI. Any delay in payment of interest/principal (as the case may be) due to invocation of any of the features mentioned above would constitute as an event of default as per CARE's definition of default and as such these instruments may exhibit a some-what sharper migration of the rating compared with other subordinated debt instruments.

Detailed Rationale & Key Rating Drivers

The revision in ratings assigned to the various debt instruments of The Lakshmi Vilas Bank Ltd factors (LVB) in continuation of losses in FY19 (refers to the period Apr 01 to Mar 31) and Q1FY120 (refers to the period between April 01 to June 30) on account of sharp deterioration in asset quality during this period resulting in preprovision losses and higher provisions. The rating also takes note of mobilization of fresh equity by the bank during Q4FY19 (refers to the period between January 01 to March 31) and July 2019. Notwithstanding the same, capital adequacy levels continues to be weak.

The ratings continuous to be placed on rating watch with developing implications following the board of directors of LVB approving the scheme of amalgamation to effect the merger of Indiabulls Housing Finance Limited (IBHFL) and its wholly owned subsidiary, Indiabulls Commercial Credit Limited (ICCL) into and with The Lakshmi Vilas Bank. The scheme is subjected to regulatory and shareholders' approval.

The ratings continue to derive strength from the long-standing operational track record of Lakshmi Vilas Bank Ltd (LVB) and its established presence in Southern India. The rating is constrained by moderate size of the bank, its regional nature of operations, weak asset quality parameters, weak capitalisation levels.

LVB's ability to raise capital in timely manner, scale up the recoveries and improve its asset quality, profitability would be the key rating sensitivities. In view of current capital adequacy levels, timely mobilisation of capital to augment its CAR is critical in the near term.

Detailed description of the key rating drivers Key Rating Strengths Long Standing Operational track report

Established in 1926, LVB is one of the old private sector banks based out of Tamilnadu with a track record of more than 90 years. LVB now has a wide spread shareholder base with promoters' holding of 7.11% as on June 30, 2019. As on March 31, 2019 the bank had a network of 569 branches and 1046 ATMs.

Improvement in CASA proportion; however continues to be relatively low

The proportion of low-cost current account and savings account (CASA) is relatively low compared to its peers but has been improving over the past few years. CASA improved from 21.06% as on March 31, 2018 to 25.67% as on March 31, 2019 and further improved to 27.12% as on June 30, 2019. Though there was decrease in overall deposits, CASA deposits have seen improvement on absolute terms also. On absolute terms CASA deposits improved by 5% from Rs.7,015 crore as on March 31, 2018 to Rs.7,515 crore as on March 31, 2019 and further to Rs.7,858 crore as on June 30, 2019.

Liquidity Profile

As on June 30, 2019 LVB had no cumulative mismatches up to 5 years' time bucket. The liquidity coverage ratio remained at 247.14% as on June 30, 2019 (165.79%) as against the regulatory requirement of 100%. Rollover rate of deposits stood at 63% in FY19 which will provide additional comfort

Key Rating Weaknesses

Press Release



Decline in business due to capital constraint

With the bank reporting capital adequacy below the regularity levels, the bank has been reducing the advances/Risk weighted advances consciously in view of the constrained capital adequacy level. During FY19, Advances declined by 22% from Rs. 25,768 crore as on March 31, 2018 to Rs. 20,103 crore as on March 31, 2019. As the advances declined, the bank has reduced its bulk deposits and was not growing its deposits which resulted in decline in overall deposits also. Deposits declined by 11% from Rs. 33,309 crore as on March 31, 2018 to Rs. 29,279 crore as on March 31, 2019.

During Q1FY20, Advances declined to Rs. 18,539 crore as on June 30, 2019 whereas total Deposits declined to Rs. 28,890 crore as on June 30, 2019.

Weak Asset Quality

The asset quality witnessed deterioration in FY19 and Q1FY20. GNPA and NNPA increased from 9.98% and 5.66% as on March 31, 2018 to 15.30% and 7.49% as on March 31, 2018. On absolute terms GNPA increased from Rs. 2,694 crore as on March 31, 2018 to Rs. 3,359 crore as on March 31, 2019. Net Slippages during FY19 stood at Rs.665 crore as against Rs.2053 crore in FY18.

Standard restructured assets declined from Rs.76 crore as on March 31, 2018 to Rs.40 crore as on March 31, 2019. LVB had outstanding security receipts of Rs.330 crore on March 31, 2019 (Rs.339 crore as on March 31, 2018). Stressed Assets (Standard restructured asset + Security receipts outstanding + GNPA) stood at Rs. 3,729 crore as on March 31, 2019 as against Rs. 3,109 crore as on March 31, 2018. Provisions coverage ratio stood at 62.08% as on March 31, 2019 (PY: 55.07%). The trend in deterioration of asset quality continued in Q1FY20. GNPA and NNPA as on June 30, 2019 stood at 17.30% and 8.30%. Provision coverage ratio as on June 30, 2019 stood at 63.08%.

Losses reported in FY19 and Q1FY20

With the reduction in advances and increase in NPAs, Interest income has seen decline during the FY19 and Q1FY20. Interest income on advances declined by 7% from Rs. 2,331 crore in FY18 to Rs. 2,163 crore in FY19. Yield on advances stood at 9.43% in FY19 as against 9.42% in FY18. Cost of deposits increased from 6.23% in FY18 to 6.72% in FY19. Credit to deposit ratio (C/D) declined from 77.36% in FY18 to 68.66 in FY19. As a result of the increase in cost of borrowings, lower C/D ratio, NIM declined from 2.11% in FY18 to 1.56% in FY19.

Non-interest income has declined from Rs.347 crore in FY18 to Rs.250 crore in FY19. Though the overall business declined, Opex increased from Rs.782 in FY18 to Rs.822 crore in FY19. As a result, LVB reported operating loss of Rs.12 crore in FY19 as against an operating profit of Rs.355 crore in FY18. Provisions towards NPA stood at Rs.879 crore during FY19 as against Rs. 1,300 crore in FY18. With decrease in Net Interest income, non-interest income, increase in Opex and higher provision towards NPAs, the bank reported loss of Rs.894 crore in FY19 as against a loss of Rs.585 crore in FY18

Interest income decreased from Rs.727 crore in Q1FY19 to Rs.624 crore in Q1FY20. Non Interest Income stood at Rs.53 crore in Q1FY20 as against Rs.60 crore in Q1FY19. LVB reported of operating loss of Rs.26 crore in Q1FY20. The bank made provisions on depreciation of investments (MTM) of Rs.7 crore and Rs.156 Crore towards NPAs during Q1FY20 resulting in the bank reporting loss of Rs.237 crore during the period.

Weak capitalization levels - Capital adequacy below regulatory requirement

CAR and Tier I CAR stood at 6.46% and 4.46% as June 30, 2019 (7.72% and 5.72% as March 31, 2019) against regulatory requirement of 10.875% and 9%. Notwithstanding mobilization of fresh equity in Q4FY19 (refer to period from January 01 to March 31) and July 2019, capital adequacy levels continues to be weak. In order to improve its capital adequacy levels, the bank has mobilized fresh equity of Rs.468 crore in Q4FY19 via QIP and Rs.188 crore in the month of July 2019 by way of





preferential issue of equity shares. However, on account of continuation of losses in Q1FY20 due to pre-provision loss and higher provisions, the bank reported total CAR of 6.46%. Including equity mobilized in July 2019, CAR stood at 7.56% which is below regulatory requirement of 10.875%. As per RBI guidelines, minimum CAR to be maintained as on March 31, 2020 is 11.5% (including counter cyclical buffer). In view of the same, to meet regulatory requirements, the bank is required to mobilise around Rs.677 crore based on risk weighted assets as on June 30, 2019. On account of higher NPA levels and degrowth in advances in Q1FY20, actual capital requirement is likely to be higher due to losses expected during next few quarters. In view of the same, ability of the bank to mobilise capital in timely manner is critical to meet capital adequacy requirement and grow its loan book.

Analytical approach:

Standalone

Applicable Criteria

<u>Criteria on assigning Outlook and Credit Watch to Credit Ratings</u> <u>CARE's Policy on Default Recognition</u> <u>Financial ratios – Financial sector</u> <u>Bank - CARE's Rating Methodology For Banks</u> <u>Bank - Rating framework for Basel III instruments (Tier I & Tier II)</u>

About the Company

Established in 1926, LVB is one of the old private sector banks based out of Tamil Nadu. The business operations of LVB are geographically concentrated in South India particularly in Tamil Nadu. As on March 31, 2019, the bank had a network of 569 branches and 1046 ATMs. As on March 31, 2019 net advances stood at Rs. 20,103 crore and deposits stood at Rs. 29,279 crore

Brief Financials (Rs. crore)	FY18 (A)	FY19 (A)
Total income	3,388	3,090
РАТ	-585	-894
Total Assets	39,797	32,005
Net NPA (%)	5.66	7.49
ROTA (%)	NM	NM

A: Audited

Status of non-cooperation with previous CRA:

Not Applicable

Any other information:

Not Applicable

Rating History for last three years: Please refer Annexure-2

Annexure-1: Details of Instruments/Facilities

Press Release



Name of the	Date of	Coupon	Maturity	Size of the	Rating assigned along	
Instrument	Issuance	Rate	Date	Issue	with Rating Outlook	
				(Rs. crore)		
Bonds-Lower Tier II	February 10, 2012	11.40	10-02-2022	50.50	CARE BBB (Under Credit	
					watch with Developing	
					Implications)	
Bonds-Tier II Bonds	March 24, 2014	11.80	24-03-2024	78.10	CARE BBB (Under Credit	
					watch with Developing	
					Implications)	
Bonds-Tier II Bonds	September 30, 2015	11.50	30-09-2025	140.10	CARE BBB (Under Credit	
					watch with Developing	
					Implications)	
Bonds-Tier II Bonds	June 09, 2017	10.70	09-06-2024	100.00	CARE BBB (Under Credit	
					watch with Developing	
					Implications)	
Bonds-Tier I Bonds	-	-	-	250.00	CARE BB (Under Credit	
(Proposed)					watch with Developing	
					Implications)	

Annexure-2: Rating History of last three years

Sr.	Name of the	Current Ratings			Rating history			
No.	Instrument/Bank	Туре	Amount	Rating	Date(s) &	Date(s) & Rating(s)	Date(s) &	Date(s) &
	Facilities		Outstanding		Rating(s)	assigned in 2018-	Rating(s)	Rating(s)
			(Rs. crore)		assigned in	2019	assigned	assigned in
					2019-2020		in 2017-	2016-2017
							2018	
1.	Bonds-Lower	LT	-	-	-	-	-	1)Withdrawn
	Tier II							(30-Aug-16)
2.	Bonds-Lower	LT	50.50	CARE BBB-	1)CARE BBB	1)CARE BBB	1)CARE	1)CARE A-
	Tier II			(Under	(Under	(Under Credit	A-;	(30-Aug-16)
				Credit watch	Credit watch	watch with	Stable	
				with	with	Negative	(07-	
				Developing	Developing	Implications)	Jul-17)	
				Implications)	Implications)	(02-Nov-18)		
					(12-Apr-19)	2)CARE BBB;		
						Negative		
						(04-Oct-18)		
						3)CARE BBB+;		
						Negative		
						(04-Jun-18)		
3.	Bonds-Tier II	LT	78.10	CARE BBB-	1)CARE BBB	1)CARE BBB	1)CARE	1)CARE A-
	Bonds			(Under	(Under	(Under Credit	A-;	(30-Aug-16)
				Credit watch	Credit watch	watch with	Stable	
				with	with	Negative	(07-	
				Developing	Developing	Implications)	Jul-17)	
				Implications)	Implications)	(02-Nov-18)		
					(12-Apr-19)	2)CARE BBB;		
						Negative		



						(04-Oct-18)		
						3)CARE BBB+;		
						Negative		
						(04-Jun-18)		
4.	Bonds-Tier II	LT	140.10	CARE BBB-	1)CARE BBB	1)CARE BBB	1)CARE	1)CARE A-
	Bonds		1.0110	(Under	(Under	(Under Credit	A-;	(30-Aug-16)
	Bonds			Credit watch	Credit watch	watch with	Stable	(30 / 46 10)
				with	with	Negative	(07-	
				Developing	Developing	Implications)	Jul-17)	
				Implications)	Implications)	(02-Nov-18)	•••• = • •	
					(12-Apr-19)	2)CARE BBB;		
					· · · · /	Negative		
						(04-Oct-18)		
						3)CARE BBB+;		
						Negative		
						(04-Jun-18)		
5.	Bonds-Tier II	LT	100.00	CARE BBB-	1)CARE BBB	1)CARE BBB	1)CARE	-
	Bonds			(Under	(Under	(Under Credit	A-;	
				Credit watch	Credit watch	watch with	Stable	
				with	with	Negative	(07-	
				Developing	Developing	Implications)	Jul-17)	
				Implications)	Implications)	(02-Nov-18)	2)CARE	
					(12-Apr-19)	2)CARE BBB;	A-;	
						Negative	Stable	
						(04-Oct-18)	(09-	
						3)CARE BBB+;	Jun-	
						Negative	17)	
						(04-Jun-18)		
6.	Bonds-Tier I	LT	250.00	CARE BB-	1)CARE BB	-	-	-
	Bonds			(Under	(Under			
				Credit watch	Credit watch			
				with	with			
				Developing	Developing			
				Implications)	Implications)			
					(12-Apr-19)			



Note on complexity levels of the rated instrument: CARE has classified instruments rated by it on the basis of complexity. This classification is available at www.careratings.com. Investors/market intermediaries/regulators or others are welcome to write to care@careratings.com for any clarifications.

Contact us

Media Contact

Mradul Mishra Contact no. – +91-22-6837 4424 Email ID – mradul.mishra@careratings.com

Analyst Contact

Name: Mr P Sudhakar Tel: 044-2850 1000 Email: <u>p.sudhakar@careratings.com</u>

Relationship Contact

Name: Mr. V Pradeep Kumar Contact no. :044-28497812 Email ID :pradeep.kumar@careratings.com

About CARE Ratings:

CARE Ratings commenced operations in April 1993 and over two decades, it has established itself as one of the leading credit rating agencies in India. CARE is registered with the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) and also recognized as an External Credit Assessment Institution (ECAI) by the Reserve Bank of India (RBI). CARE Ratings is proud of its rightful place in the Indian capital market built around investor confidence. CARE Ratings provides the entire spectrum of credit rating that helps the corporates to raise capital for their various requirements and assists the investors to form an informed investment decision based on the credit risk and their own risk-return expectations. Our rating and grading service offerings leverage our domain and analytical expertise backed by the methodologies congruent with the international best practices.

Disclaimer

CARE's ratings are opinions on credit quality and are not recommendations to sanction, renew, disburse or recall the concerned bank facilities or to buy, sell or hold any security. CARE has based its ratings/outlooks on information obtained from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. CARE does not, however, guarantee the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of any information and is not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such information. Most entities whose bank facilities/instruments are rated by CARE have paid a credit rating fee, based on the amount and type of bank facilities/instruments.

In case of partnership/proprietary concerns, the rating /outlook assigned by CARE is based on the capital deployed by the partners/proprietor and the financial strength of the firm at present. The rating/outlook may undergo change in case of withdrawal of capital or the unsecured loans brought in by the partners/proprietor in addition to the financial performance and other relevant factors.

CARE's ratings do not factor in any rating related trigger clauses as per the terms of the facility/instrument, which may involve acceleration of payments in case of rating downgrades. However, if any such clauses are introduced and if triggered, the ratings may see volatility and sharp downgrades.

**For detailed Rationale Report and subscription information, please contact us at www.careratings.com